

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,872	01/12/2004	Saad Ahmed Sirohey	135488CT (15163US01)	9393
23446 MCANDREWS	7590 08/27/2007 S HELD & MALLOY, I		EXAM	INER
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET		KRASNIC,	BERNARD
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/756,872	SIROHEY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Bernard Krasnic	2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Bernard Krasnic (Examiner)</u> .	(3)Mr. Brian Bianco (Reg. No. 51,471).		
(2) <u>Jingge Wu (SPE)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 August 2007.	·		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.			
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE	ne last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO		
•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicants representative, Mr. Brian Bianco, initiated a telephonic interview. He submitted two agendas which have been attached to this interview summary. Mr. Bianco suggested amending the limitation "mapping said display index values from the first set of data to a third set of data" in claim 1 with distance mapping. The Examiner mentioned that the Yoshida reference in page 970 [right column, "Similarity is defined in terms of the distance between the distance between the feature values of each data point"] might teach the possible amendment of distance mapping. Mr. Bianco understood the Examiners interpretation and therefore the Examiner suggested incorporating to the limitation "mapping said display index values from the first set of data to a third set of data" with distance mapping where the distance mapping is dependent upon the distance from the centerline of the tubular structure. The end result was something in the lines of "distance mapping from the centerline of said display index values from the first set of data to a third set of data" respectively. The Examiner also mentioned that "mapping said display index values from the first set of data to a third set of data" is typically shape mapping as disclosed in paragraph 39 of the Applicants specification. The Applicant agreed and stated that paragraph 39 states that the mapping could be either shape mapping or distance mapping. To summarize, the Examiner agreed that the "distance mapping from the centerline" is not disclosed by the Yoshida reference and would overcome Yoshida's rejections, however, the Examiner could not suggest allowable subject matter without performing a detailed search for other possible art references.



500 WEST MADISON STREET 34TH FLOOR CHICAGO ILLINOIS 60661 (T) 312 775 8000 (F) 312 775 8100 www.mcandrewe-ip.com



to:	Examiner Krasnic at USPT)		
from:	Brlan C. Bianco	7.11		
date:	August 22, 2007		user ID:	8037
client:	01194			
matter:	51103US01		V V Marie a management	•
fax numbe	r: (571) 270-2357			
number of	pages including cover page:	2		

notes/comments:

Please see the attached.

Agenda For Examiner Interview of August 22, 2007 for Application Number 10/756,872 NOT PART OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD FOR THIS APPLICATION

Dear Examiner Krasnic:

I apologize for the short notice, but I would also like to discuss clarifying the claim language. Specifically, I would like to discuss clarifying the "mapping" limitation of the independent claims. Please consider the impact of an amendment clarifying that the "mapping" limitation is "distance mapping". I look forward to speaking with you this afternoon.

- Diane

Regards,

Brian C. Bianco





500 WEST MADISON STREET 34TH FLOOR CHICAGO ILLINOIS 60681 (T) 312 775 8000 (F) 312 775 8100 www.moandrews-ip.com



to:	Examiner Krasnic with the USPTO		
from:	Brianc C. Bianco		
date:	August 21, 2007		user ID: 8037
client:	01194	· MALL	
matter:	15163USP1		
fax numbe	r: (571) 270-2357	-	
number of pages including cover page:		2	

notes/comments:

Please see the attached.

Agenda For Examiner Interview of August 21, 2007 for Application Number 10/756,872

NOT PART OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD FOR THIS APPLICATION

Dear Examiner Krasnic:

I would like to discuss your application of "hysteresis thresholding" as discussed in Yoshida to the claim limitation of claim 1 (and corresponding limitations in other independent claims) of "mapping said display index values from the first set of data to a third set of data".

Regards,

Brian C. Bianco